

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,536	SHELLHAMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shih-yung Hsieh	2837	

All Participants:

(1) Shih-yung Hsieh.

(2) Mark M. Shellhammer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:


Purdie

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the applicant is advised that the amendment to the specification and the drawings are not proper, and suggested to seek the assistance of an attorney and professional draftsman

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)